

The Lansburgh Furniture Co.

512 NINTH STREET N. W.


Cash or Credit. Cash or Credit.

An opportunity to get fine furnishings at a low figure this Saturday with the privilege of credit for the asking.



Dinner Set

We have the best 100-piece Haviland Pattern Dinner Set in town. This one reduced from \$20 to **\$11.50**



Library Set

This Fine Fumed Oak Library Set, consisting of Settee, Armchair, and Rocker, upholstered in best Spanish Leather. Reduced from \$60 to **\$35.50**



Dining Room Set

This Golden Oak Dining Set, consisting of big buffet, four leather-seated chairs, and 42 in. x 6 ft. extension table. Reduced from \$75 to **\$41.50**

Draperies Department

French and English Rep Portieres, handsome tapestry border; all colors. Regular \$9.00 value. Special, **\$5.85**

Special Rope Portiere Sale; all colors. Regular \$8.00 value. Special, **\$3.85**

French Lace Curtains; large and well-selected patterns. Regular \$9.00 value. Special, **\$5.15**

Scotch Lace Curtains, white or ecru; both Brussels and Colonial effects. Marked to sell for \$4.00. This sale, **\$2.58**

Special Sale Oriental Couch Covers; all colors. Regularly \$4.00. This sale, each, **\$1.69**

The Lansburgh Furniture Co.

512 NINTH STREET N. W.

MANY PROMINENT MEN TO MAKE ADDRESSES

Program of the Southern Commercial Congress Convention at Mobile, Ala., Is Announced.

Announcement was made yesterday at the Southern Commercial Congress of the program of the fifth annual convention of the congress, which will be held in Mobile, Ala., October 25-28.

The list of speakers includes:

President Wilson, Secretary Bryan, Secretary Daniels, Senators Fletcher, Smith, Bankhead, Ransdell, Vandaman, and Brady; Govs. O'Neal of Alabama, McCrory of Kentucky, Major of Missouri, Trammell of Florida, and former Gov. Noel of Mississippi; Representatives Underwood, Heflin, Hobson, Abernethy, Taylor, and Clayton; Minister Morales of Panama, Minister Paret of Peru, Minister Calderon of Bolivia, Consul General for Brazil de Cunha, Director General of the Pan-American Union John Barrett, Managing Director of the Southern Commercial Congress Dr. C. J. Owen, Lieut. Col. Sibert, of the Isthmian Canal Commission, and President Finley, of the Southern Railway.

The Southeastern Passenger Association has made one-day round-trip rates to Mobile for the convention. Indications are that more than 3,000 delegates and visitors will attend this convention.

The State Department and the Pan-American Union are co-operating with the Southern Commercial Congress to make the convention an international event, the theme of which will be "The Relation of the United States to the Panama Canal, Latin-America, and World Commerce."

President Wilson will speak on the first day, and Senator Fletcher, president of the Southern Commercial Congress, will deliver the annual address the same day. Dr. C. J. Owen, director general of the Pan-American Union, Commission on Agricultural Co-operation, will report the results of the European investigations of agricultural co-operation made by the commission during a three months' European tour last summer.

The Women's Auxiliary of the Southern Commercial Congress will hold its con-

vention at the same time in Mobile. Many distinguished women will speak.

Among the events in connection with the convention will be a trade pageant, a visit of naval vessels and ship of the Lighthouse Service to Mobile, and a cruise to the Panama Canal and Central America by many of the delegates. A tablet is to be erected at the Panama Canal to the memory of the late Senator John T. Morgan, of Alabama, "Father of the Canal Idea."

LAST TEST RIDE TODAY.

Army Officers Will Make Trip from Fort Myer to Mount Vernon.

The monotony of the second of the test rides of thirty miles that are being taken by a group of army officers now in camp at Fort Myer, was broken yesterday, and the distance was split up into a twenty-mile ride in the morning and ten miles in the afternoon.

The day before the army men made their thirty miles in a ride to Mount Vernon and back to the fort, but yesterday they galloped to the target range in the morning and to Chain Bridge and back in the afternoon, taking lunch at the fort.

The last of the rides will be taken today to Mount Vernon and back and then the officers will be allowed to rest for a while, having covered the ninety miles in three days that is required by the army regulations. The cavalry was led yesterday by Gen. A. L. Miles, of the general staff.

ARGENTINE BEEF PRAISED.

Dr. Melvin Says Little Republic Is Much Interested in Industry.

Argentina as a beef-producing country is highly commended on by Dr. A. D. Melvin, chief of the Bureau of Animal Industry, Department of Agriculture, who has just returned to Washington after a study of the meat-producing countries of South America.

Dr. Melvin expresses the belief that the Argentine republic will develop into one of the most important factors in supplying the world with beef. He says that the government of the little republic is much interested in the industry and has provided an fine laboratory and plant for the systematic study as to be found anywhere.

WOMAN AND THE HOME

Edited by
Julia Chandler Manz

Lives Crushed Because We Will Not Let Folk Forget

World Never Ceases to Remind Woman of an Error, No Matter How Hard She Tries to Live It Down.

By FRANCES SHAFER.

Someone told a story the other day, so true and full of meaning that it seems worth while to pass it along, just for the sake of the lesson it carries.

It was all about a woman, young and very fair, who had made one grievous mistake in her life, a mistake that had never ceased to pay its toll, because the world would not let it cease.

She made her false step and she paid the price, but she faced her future unflinchingly, determined that that one sorry blunder should not ruin her life, even though there might be much of loneliness in it, much of reproach and lack of sympathy, too. It was her aim to build her life right full of beauty and to make every act in the years to come smart and atone for the one fateful period. She would not let it continue to hurt her, but rather let it teach her and help her to grow.

And it did. For, as the years went by, with her books, her thoughts, and her work, she went on growing stronger, richer in mind, bigger in heart, and all the more worthy of love. She lived much by herself, never stepping outside in the broader ways unless it was at the call of someone who needed the sympathy, the understanding, and the human love that were hers in exquisite degree.

The few-very few that were who sought her out and were admitted to her home, knew that though she was devoid of the natural interests of friends and of normal pursuits, her mind was full of interest and she was just the type of woman who ought to have hosts of friends, for her own true sake. Every thing about her was expressive of a luminous life, of depth of character, and of all that makes for beautiful womanhood.

Whenever it chanced, as it sometimes did, that she was asked to give of the things that she had in abundance, she gave right royally, far more than she was asked, and the world was all about her, knew that if there was a blunder in her past, she was making it a stepping-stone to something higher. It knew, but it looked upon her in silence. For as the years went by, she lived in the shadows of her story, alone; and her critical, condemning world went on, quite satisfied with itself and its virtues.

In time, she sickened and died; and on the day of her funeral the poorer folk among the villagers flocked in to honor her name, to tell of her unobtrusive charity, her unflinching sympathy, and of the generous heart and big nature back of it. And the children came to add their mite of tribute, for to them she had freely opened her gates. It was not one who spoke in kindly words, but who lived the whole village knew that when her life went out a really beautiful and helpful light had been extinguished.

And yet—
In return for all that she did, all that she gave, and for all her own gracious womanhood, grown far away from the past, it never had occurred to them to reach out the hand of fellowship. There was a life crowned with development, full of atonement, rich in service; but she lived and died without ever guessing that it bore any fruit, except for herself, lived and died without any expression of sympathy or any hand of help, without any one to make her feel that the past was blotted out.

Makes Us Wonder.
And as her story died away, it made one wonder how many more of us have been harsh, unsympathetic, and very poor judges of the gold and the diamonds we find as we travel along. How many lives, both men and women, have been crushed because the world would not let them forget?

There are not very many who have the courage to stand up to the face of rebuke, and to create a beautiful life-fabric once an ugly color has crept in. Because, make it as beautiful as they may, their world persistently looks at the old, ugly spot and pays little heed to the new or the painstaking effort to make it right.

And this little life-narrative fits in with many another corner of life that we have observed, where neither tolerance nor ordinary human kindness have thrown out a crumb of comfort or extended a hand of help.

For ourselves, it is mighty fine and strong to keep our standards inviolate, or at least, as true as we can.

And as for the world, it is all for the hope that they will do the same. But it has a ring of the fine and the true.

ALL AGOG OVER FASHION DISPLAYS
In New York all are agog over the new fashion displays. It cannot be said that there is any really strikingly new note, although variations galore are to be found. One of the most marked tendencies is toward breadth just below the hip line with a narrow skirt about the feet, but we have known and discussed that feature for many weeks. Fur will be extensively worn, in fact, used in every possible way, not alone as an entire garment, but also as trimming in touches and in large masses.

On evening gowns are to be seen tiny little edges of fur that are extremely effective in contrast with the lace, gauze, and the like, and not a few handsome costumes show fur finishing the sleeves in place of cuffs and forming the small regulation collar at the back while the lapels are of the material. Fur used in such ways is unquestionably handsome and attractive, but happily not the great mass of womanhood does not represent lavish outlay.

That extremely handsome fur sets and fur coats will be worn by those who can afford luxury undeniably is true, but the trimming of fur is unquestionably smart and, since our climate is a changeable one, many women to whom the item of cost is not an important one, choose suits that are lightly trimmed with fur from the standpoint of comfort.

In New York, at least, the zero point is seldom touched, and many of the fur coats seem desirable only as a part of an arctic equipment. Fur-trimmed costumes are practical as well as handsome, and almost every known skin will be used, notably fox and skunk, are favorites. Fox, let it be added, is being dyed to every color to satisfy every whim. For evening and afternoon wear it has been seen in a genuine canary shade and it seems likely that the dying of skins will be pushed to an extreme. This is not the age of reverence and no one hesitates to slash into sable and ermine to produce an effect that we do in our cut cloth that can be woven in any desired quality.

strong when we make it known that the whole world has need of tolerance, in one way or another, and that we are brave enough and big enough to recognize a real effort to atone.

By FRANCES SHAFER.

Someone told a story the other day, so true and full of meaning that it seems worth while to pass it along, just for the sake of the lesson it carries.

It was all about a woman, young and very fair, who had made one grievous mistake in her life, a mistake that had never ceased to pay its toll, because the world would not let it cease.

She made her false step and she paid the price, but she faced her future unflinchingly, determined that that one sorry blunder should not ruin her life, even though there might be much of loneliness in it, much of reproach and lack of sympathy, too. It was her aim to build her life right full of beauty and to make every act in the years to come smart and atone for the one fateful period. She would not let it continue to hurt her, but rather let it teach her and help her to grow.

And it did. For, as the years went by, with her books, her thoughts, and her work, she went on growing stronger, richer in mind, bigger in heart, and all the more worthy of love. She lived much by herself, never stepping outside in the broader ways unless it was at the call of someone who needed the sympathy, the understanding, and the human love that were hers in exquisite degree.

The few-very few that were who sought her out and were admitted to her home, knew that though she was devoid of the natural interests of friends and of normal pursuits, her mind was full of interest and she was just the type of woman who ought to have hosts of friends, for her own true sake. Every thing about her was expressive of a luminous life, of depth of character, and of all that makes for beautiful womanhood.

Whenever it chanced, as it sometimes did, that she was asked to give of the things that she had in abundance, she gave right royally, far more than she was asked, and the world was all about her, knew that if there was a blunder in her past, she was making it a stepping-stone to something higher. It knew, but it looked upon her in silence. For as the years went by, she lived in the shadows of her story, alone; and her critical, condemning world went on, quite satisfied with itself and its virtues.

In time, she sickened and died; and on the day of her funeral the poorer folk among the villagers flocked in to honor her name, to tell of her unobtrusive charity, her unflinching sympathy, and of the generous heart and big nature back of it. And the children came to add their mite of tribute, for to them she had freely opened her gates. It was not one who spoke in kindly words, but who lived the whole village knew that when her life went out a really beautiful and helpful light had been extinguished.

And yet—
In return for all that she did, all that she gave, and for all her own gracious womanhood, grown far away from the past, it never had occurred to them to reach out the hand of fellowship. There was a life crowned with development, full of atonement, rich in service; but she lived and died without ever guessing that it bore any fruit, except for herself, lived and died without any expression of sympathy or any hand of help, without any one to make her feel that the past was blotted out.

Makes Us Wonder.
And as her story died away, it made one wonder how many more of us have been harsh, unsympathetic, and very poor judges of the gold and the diamonds we find as we travel along. How many lives, both men and women, have been crushed because the world would not let them forget?

There are not very many who have the courage to stand up to the face of rebuke, and to create a beautiful life-fabric once an ugly color has crept in. Because, make it as beautiful as they may, their world persistently looks at the old, ugly spot and pays little heed to the new or the painstaking effort to make it right.

And this little life-narrative fits in with many another corner of life that we have observed, where neither tolerance nor ordinary human kindness have thrown out a crumb of comfort or extended a hand of help.

For ourselves, it is mighty fine and strong to keep our standards inviolate, or at least, as true as we can.

And as for the world, it is all for the hope that they will do the same. But it has a ring of the fine and the true.

ALL AGOG OVER FASHION DISPLAYS
In New York all are agog over the new fashion displays. It cannot be said that there is any really strikingly new note, although variations galore are to be found. One of the most marked tendencies is toward breadth just below the hip line with a narrow skirt about the feet, but we have known and discussed that feature for many weeks. Fur will be extensively worn, in fact, used in every possible way, not alone as an entire garment, but also as trimming in touches and in large masses.

On evening gowns are to be seen tiny little edges of fur that are extremely effective in contrast with the lace, gauze, and the like, and not a few handsome costumes show fur finishing the sleeves in place of cuffs and forming the small regulation collar at the back while the lapels are of the material. Fur used in such ways is unquestionably handsome and attractive, but happily not the great mass of womanhood does not represent lavish outlay.

That extremely handsome fur sets and fur coats will be worn by those who can afford luxury undeniably is true, but the trimming of fur is unquestionably smart and, since our climate is a changeable one, many women to whom the item of cost is not an important one, choose suits that are lightly trimmed with fur from the standpoint of comfort.

In New York, at least, the zero point is seldom touched, and many of the fur coats seem desirable only as a part of an arctic equipment. Fur-trimmed costumes are practical as well as handsome, and almost every known skin will be used, notably fox and skunk, are favorites. Fox, let it be added, is being dyed to every color to satisfy every whim. For evening and afternoon wear it has been seen in a genuine canary shade and it seems likely that the dying of skins will be pushed to an extreme. This is not the age of reverence and no one hesitates to slash into sable and ermine to produce an effect that we do in our cut cloth that can be woven in any desired quality.

LACE REMNANTS MAY SERVE MANY ENDS

One of the Newest Breakfast Caps Is Made of Point d'Esprit.

At this season the remnant counters are well stocked with odd lengths of lace, chiffon, and net which can be purchased for a shilling, or a little more. From these fascinating breakfast caps, camisoles, or tea aprons can be fashioned by the nimble-fingered needlewoman.

One of the newest breakfast caps is made of point d'esprit in the following manner:

Gather the crown to resemble a mob-cap and line it with white, pale blue, or pink china silk or chiffon.

To this attach two pleated ruffles of the point d'esprit finished with a narrow edging of valenciennes lace. About the base of the crown draw a band of pale blue or pink mouseline ribbon and tie the ends in a flat bow at the side or front.

The dainty lace tea aprons are easily made from dotted or plain net. Cut a pattern from paper in the shape of a heart, triangle, or oval. When this is the required size, cut out the material. To the edge attach a three-inch ruffle of the material bordered with narrow lace, and gather the apron at the waist line. Attach strings of pale blue or pink ribbon at the sides. A peasant's apron is rectangular in shape and extends nearly to the hem of the dress. There is no bib to this variety, and the ruffle is only placed at the hem.

Handsome Camisole.
From a strip of lace insertion or edging twelve inches in width and from a yard to a yard and a quarter long can be made a handsome camisole. Line the lace with chiffon or china silk in any of the pastel tints, and run a shirring string through the top and bottom. Draw the lower one to fit the waist and bind with a strip of the silk or wash ribbon. To the top attach a narrow heading and thread this thread ribbon, which is then tied in a full bow at the front. Over each shoulder place a strap of ribbon tied in a bow at the top, or form the strap of ribbon covered with shirred bands of the lace.

The more elaborate camisoles are trimmed with garlands of tiny roses fashioned of silk or gauze. These can be made at home or purchased at the trimming counter of the department store.

The present fashions strongly approve of the Marie Antoinette schu, and odd lengths of lace, point d'esprit or net can be successfully utilized to fashion one of these lovely pieces of neckwear. First cut a paper pattern so that it fits perfectly across the back and shoulders. Allow the ends to extend to the waist line, or below the girdle, in points.

Finish the edges with a narrow pleated frill of the material or a gathered frill of lace.

These articles are easily and quickly made and are acceptable gifts for almost any occasion.

One of the new corsets has its material cut in points above the waist; these points being set on a band of elastic, which gives perfect ease in breathing.

Were Shakespeare's Girls As Illiterate As Claimed?

Famous Portrayer of Women of Elizabethan Bard Doubts Statements of Many Biographers.

By JULIA MARLOWE.

It is strangely the case that almost all biographers of Shakespeare dismiss his daughters, Susannah and Judith, as being illiterate and incapable of writing their own names. It comes as a shock to the modern mind that neither Shakespeare's mother, Mary Arden, nor his wife, Ann Hathaway, were capable of writing their own name to a legal document.

In the case of Ann Hathaway, there is some ground to stand on, but the case of Mary Arden, daughter of a distinguished family, could not write her name is most surprising. However, Andrew Lang, in his posthumous work, "Shakespeare, Bacon, and the Great Unknown," points out that the daughters of several distinguished men of the time were equally incapable. There is much doubt, however, that this can be said of Mary Arden, and the Great Unknown, "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the father of the son-in-law, in his town is proved by the fact that he took part in the municipal affairs and acted as councillor from 1617 to 1622, when he became chamberlain. The Quineys lived in a house in Bridge Street called "The Cage," which is still extant. It is a singular fact that the only letter written by the